

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,406 04/14/2005		Stephane Raeppel	02-1019-A1	1207
20306	7590 10/02/2006	EXAMINER		
	LL BOEHNEN HULB	KUMAR, SHAILENDRA		
300 S. WACK 32ND FLOOI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606			1621	
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Office Action Summary			10/531,406		RAEPPEL ET AL.	•			
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			SHAILENDE	RA KUMAR	1621				
	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication app				dress			
Period for Reply									
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN THE NEW PERIOD IN THE NEW PROOF IT THE NEW PRO	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. tatutory period v y will, by statute	ATE OF THIS 136(a). In no event will apply and will e e, cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION I, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this ∝ D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)[Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>20 S</u> e	eptember 20	<u>06</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.									
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-11 and 18-25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) 12-17 is/are rejected.								
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/o	or election red	quirement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 April 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
	3. Copies of the certified copies		-		ed in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
* 8	see the attached detailed Office acti	on for a list	of the certific	ed copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen				_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	DTO 048	•	1) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)			5) D Notice of Informal F	e of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/14/05 and 8/5/05</u> . 6) Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/531,406 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to applicants' communication filed on 9/20/06.

Claims 1-25 are pending in this application.

1. Applicant's election of Group IX, with traverse of claims 12-17 in the reply filed on 9/20/06 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the examiner must demonstrate that claims lack a special technical feature in the prior art. This is not found persuasive because a fact that there are so many variables in the structure, itself is a document that there lacks a special technical feature. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-11 and 18-25 stand withdrawn from the consideration, being drawn to the non-elected invention.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 4/14/05 and 8/5/05 were in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statements have been considered by the examiner.

Drawings

3. The drawings were received on 4/14/05. These drawings are acceptable.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140

Application/Control Number: 10/531,406

Art Unit: 1621

F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 12-17 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 133 and 94-97 of copending Application No. 10/242,304, or claims 94-115 of copending Application No. 11/081,095, or claims 1-4 of copending Application No. 11/091,025. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the structure of the various copending applications are similar to those claimed herein, and especially, when in the copending applications, Ar3 is phenyl, X2 is L3-W1, and L3 is alkynylene, and W1 is S O or NR, and Cy2 is heterocyclic, then one of ordinary skill in the art would have obtained compounds within the generic disclosure of the above patent application, absent evidence to the contrary.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

EP 0 847 992 is the closest prior art and are structurally dissimilar to claimed compounds.

Page 4

To expedite allowance of claims 12-17, applicants should cancel non-elected claims, remove non-elected subject matter from the elected claims and submit Terminal disclaimer to obviate obvioustype double patenting.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6. examiner should be directed to SHAILENDRA -. KUMAR whose telephone number is (571)272-0640. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 8:00-5:30, Alt Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on (571)272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> SHAILENDRA - KUMAR **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 1621

S.Kumar 9/25/06